



Unique Funding Request Rubric

	0	1	2	3
<p>Budget and Funding</p> <p><i>Use of funds and justification</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant shows no evidence of seeking and applying for varied funding sources (e.g. dept. allocated funds, startup funds, or funds connected to a grant). <p style="text-align: center;">AND/OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The budget justification fails to articulate the reasonableness of the request. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant shows limited evidence of seeking and applying for varied funding sources (e.g. dept. allocated funds, startup funds, or funds connected to a grant). <p style="text-align: center;">AND/OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The budget justification is limited in articulating the reasonableness of the request. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant shows adequate evidence of seeking and applying for varied funding sources (e.g. dept. allocated funds, startup funds, or funds connected to a grant). <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The budget justification falls short of fully articulating the reasonableness of the request. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant shows clear and ample evidence of both applying existing and seeking new funding sources (e.g. dept. allocated funds, startup funds, or funds connected to a grant). <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The budget is fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research.
<p>Rank and Tenure¹</p> <p><i>Status of Primary Applicant</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tenured Full Professor 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tenured Assistant Prof., Associate, Prof. or Instructor/Clinical Faculty 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-tenured Assistant Prof., Associate Prof. or Instructor <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-tenure track faculty/staff

¹If multiple individuals are on a UFR application the Primary Applicant's rank and tenure will be assessed. However, in the narrative of the application, a clarification of each participants' duties should be made. In the event the request is not truly collaborative, separate requests should be filed.



Unique Funding Request Rubric

	0	1	2	3
Return on Investment: Visibility, Professional Growth, and Development	<p>Proposal fails to clearly link/articulate a plan for implementation and success for any of the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ External grant application ○ Publication ○ Conference Presentation ○ Partnership/Community Building ○ Involvement of Students in Research ○ Starting a new/unique line of research ○ Bridge funding to finish existing project ○ Mentoring/involvement of early career/non-tenured faculty/staff 	<p>Proposal will lead to at least 1 of the following with a clearly linked/articulated plan for implementation and success.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ External grant application ○ Publication ○ Conference Presentation ○ Partnership/Community Building ○ Involvement of Students in Research ○ Starting a new/unique line of research ○ Bridge funding to finish existing project ○ Mentoring/involvement of early career/non-tenured faculty/staff 	<p>Proposal will lead to at least 2 of the following with a clearly linked/articulated plan for implementation and success.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ External grant application ○ Publication ○ Conference Presentation ○ Partnership/Community Building ○ Involvement of Students in Research ○ Starting a new/unique line of research ○ Bridge funding to finish existing project ○ Mentoring/involvement of early career/non-tenured faculty/staff 	<p>Proposal will lead to at least 3 of the following with a clearly linked/articulated plan for implementation and success.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ External grant application ○ Publication ○ Conference Presentation ○ Partnership/Community Building ○ Involvement of Students in Research ○ Starting a new/unique line of research ○ Bridge funding to finish existing project ○ Mentoring/involvement of early career/non-tenured faculty/staff

Based upon the committee's **average** ranking:

- 7 – 9 automatic funding
- 4 - < 7 IRAC committee discussion including possibly seeking additional information from applicant
- 0 - < 4 denial, can provide suggestions to resubmit