East Tennessee State University Football Task Force Report to President Paul E. Stanton, Jr.

**December 20, 2006** 

### East Tennessee State University Football Task Force Report to President Paul E. Stanton, Jr. December 20, 2006

### **Background**

In June 2006, ETSU President Paul E. Stanton, Jr. established a task force to study the feasibility of returning scholarship football to East Tennessee State University. Football was discontinued as an intercollegiate sport at the end of the 2003 season due to financial constraints being experienced by the University.

The Task Force was comprised of 13 members, including representation from an independent group, Buc Football and Friends Foundation, which formed for the purpose of supporting the return of football to ETSU, and representation from the University community. (See Attachment 1 for a list of the membership.)

The Task Force held five meetings between July and December 2006. At the first meeting in July, the group reviewed its charge as well as cost data for ETSU football and other sports as well as comparative data on costs at peer institutions. At the September meeting, the Task Force divided into three sub-committees to further explore in-depth potential costs for a football program, potential sources of revenue, and potential facility needs.

At the November meeting, the Task Force heard initial reports from each of the sub-committees and determined that it would be important for members of the Task Force to visit some peer institutions to better understand the costs and processes involved in running a successful football program. Institutions visited by small groups included Appalachian State University, Furman University, and Wofford College. In addition, individual members visited Austin Peay State University and Coastal Carolina University.

At the December meeting, Dick Sheridan, former coach of N.C. State and currently a consultant to Old Dominion University and formerly to Coastal Carolina, met with the Task Force to discuss the elements required in establishing a successful football program. Also at that meeting, the Task Force reviewed a spreadsheet of projected costs and revenues to use as a basis for final discussions.

The final meeting of the Task Force on December 20, 2006, brought the Task Force to consensus on this report to President Stanton.

Other activities that were either initiated by or involved Task Force members included a survey of student interest, a survey of faculty/staff interest, establishment of an email address to receive comments from alumni and the community, and participation by Task

Force members in two information sessions on campus – one during Homecoming and one for students. (See Attachment 2 for survey results.)

### **Introduction**

Jerry Robertson began the first meeting of the Task Force with a statement of his philosophy regarding the importance of a viable football program for a university. In part, he expressed the opinion that at issue is more than just a sports program, because there are other essential benefits to a university and community that may be both tangible and intangible. Those who agree with this philosophy would say that a football program serves to attract and retain students, including student-athletes, marching band members, cheerleaders, and their friends. This would result in additional revenue to the university. Other benefits include relationship development between the community and the campus, name recognition for the institution, scholarship opportunities, and enhancement of the student experience.

In consideration of this premise, the Task Force pursued its charge with certain basic assumptions that if football—were it to be returned to ETSU—should:

- Be a competitive program
- Be considered as a total "experience" as an entertainment event
- Be played outdoors
- Not result in reductions in quality and funding of current academic or athletic programs.

Based upon its work and discussions, the Task Force offers the following:

This Task Force believes that reinstating a football program that would be competitive in the Football Championship Subdivision (formerly Division 1-AA) would add value to the ETSU community and the University's stakeholders, but the following issues <u>must</u> be addressed and resolved:

### 1) Student Athletic Fee

There is a cap on the amount of state/tuition dollars that can be used to support athletic programs in the State of Tennessee. If current athletic programs are to be maintained, new dollars will be required to fund a football program. These monies may be generated from private dollars donated to the athletic programs and/or from an increase in the student athletic fee, which currently stands at \$75 per semester.

Cost projections demand an increased fee that would be phased in over a five-year period and would begin with an initial increase of \$25 or \$50 per semester and increase until a sufficient level is obtained balanced with fund raising, ticket sales, and other potential sources of revenue. Such revenue must also be sufficient to

cover projected expenditures for football and related administrative costs, support Title IX compliance costs and facilities costs.

Increases in the student athletic fee can only be instituted upon positive recommendation from the students and approval by the Tennessee Board of Regents. If the decision is made to move forward with the next step in reinstating football, appropriate approvals must be obtained. The Task Force believes that the increase in the student athletic fee is essential to attain a sufficient level of income to support a football program.

### 2) Facilities

To make football a total experience for students, players, and the University, the Task Force has identified the need to build or "partner in building" a state-of-theart, modern stadium that can serve as an economic development tool for the region and constitute a positive component in fielding a competitive team. Stadiums of this caliber are typically built at a cost of \$1000 per seat and include skyboxes and other amenities for fans as well as offices, dressing rooms, and training facilities for the coaches and players. Initial cost projections for a stadium with 10,000 seats are between \$12 million and \$15 million, and should be built so seating could be expanded as needed. Additional costs may be incurred for stadium parking and practice fields.

Based upon its review of successful football programs and in consideration of anecdotal information from students, alumni, and fans, the Task Force recognizes that a location on the ETSU campus should be the first consideration for such a stadium and is the preferable choice. In reality, due to the significant costs of such a facility, the Task Force would encourage the University to explore partnership opportunities with the City of Johnson City. However, it is anticipated that any such arrangement would still result in costs to ETSU of approximately \$5 million to \$6 million to bring such facilities up to required standards.

If a partnership is pursued, and if the stadium is not built on the ETSU campus, then a campus fieldhouse will be needed for offices, locker rooms, training rooms, and other facilities to support the team. Whether a facility is built on or off campus, at least one, and preferably two (one turf and one grass), practice fields will be required. Costs for a fieldhouse would total some \$5 million to \$6 million. A grass practice field costs between \$300,000 - \$600,000 and a turf field will cost at a minimum \$1 million.

Any decision regarding facilities is complicated by the fact that since it is anticipated they will be funded from donations as opposed to a regular revenue stream such as debt service fees, state rules require that all funds be in hand prior to the start of any construction.

### 3) Budget

The Task Force developed a proposed budget with projected costs and revenues in order to determine the feasibility of reinstating football at ETSU that assumes beginning play in Fall 2010. Costs were derived from calculations based on figures presented from peer institutions and national data sources when available (for example, average salaries for coaches, operating expenses, recruiting expenses, travel, etc.). Assumptions used to develop this budget included the initiation of an additional athletic fee charged to all students as well as private fund raising and other revenue sources.

Other assumptions included a phase-in of scholarships to a full 63 scholarships using a 50:50 in-state to out-of-state ratio and a yearly tuition inflation rate of 5%. A salary inflation rate of 4% per year was used. Administrative support costs are calculations based on the projected workload of adding 63 scholarship players for football plus additional non-scholarship players. The Task Force has less confidence in the Gender Equity projections as there are a number of unanswered questions that must be resolved before final budget figures can be determined. However, the figures provided are illustrative of dollars that will need to be considered.

The revenue projections rely heavily upon the phased-in student athletic fee and include a 2% per year increase in enrollment. Other potential sources of dollars include gate receipts, game guarantees, marketing/promotions contracts, radio network contracts, concession revenue, and new merchandise revenue. The budget does not project any dollars from fundraising efforts; rather, the gap between anticipated revenues and projected costs identified dollars that will be required to fund operations fully.

The budget provided does not factor in costs of facilities as discussed in item two above.

(See Attachment 3 for a sample budget based on these assumptions.).

### 4) Caveats

In addition to the basic considerations of costs/revenues and facilities, the Task Force wishes to call attention to the following issues/caveats that are critical to a successful return of football:

a. Title IX/Gender Equity – ETSU has addressed gender equity concerns raised by the NCAA during its certification review in 1997. At that time, the institution had 37 recommendations for which it was required to

develop a plan that would address the deficiencies in gender equity within its athletic programs. In 2006, during the second cycle of the certification process, an NCAA team visited campus and following NCAA review, ETSU was deemed in "full compliance," with the understanding that it would continue to move forward with implementing its gender equity five year plan.

The University currently uses the proportionality method to address gender equity, viewing this as the most positive approach to ensuring that women athletes, coaches, and staff have the same opportunities to participate in athletic programs as their male counterparts. Continued use of the proportionality rule would require the initiation of 95 additional female scholarships (beyond those already projected) to match the 63 scholarships that would accompany a reinstated football program. This would require the initiation of additional sports programs for females and their associated budgets for staff, operations, equipment, and facilities, phased in over an identified period.

The Task Force believes the University would be well-advised to pursue a Title IX consultant who could conduct an independent review of its gender equity program and suggest ways in which gender equity can be achieved if football is reinstated. Some projected costs for gender equity funding have been included with the attached sample budget for the purpose of illustrating the types of dollars and programs which might be considered. The numbers presented are for operational costs only and do not include related facility costs.

b. Fundraising – The Task Force believes that a comprehensive fundraising plan must be developed with specific goals and deadlines for achieving these fundraising goals. Football supporters have indicated that it has been difficult to raise funds for a defunct football program and believe that, if there is evidence of Presidential support for returning football to ETSU, effective fundraising can ensue. The Task Force also realizes that for effective fundraising to occur, a complete plan for the program and its facilities must be developed along with a reasonable timeline for implementation plus assigned responsibilities for the fundraising effort.

Fundraising will need to be a multi-pronged effort to include season ticket subscribers with a pledge for multi-year tickets, as well as individual and corporate donors. Evidence of external funding support will be necessary for the University to move forward with a program as student fees alone cannot provide adequate dollars.

c. Sustainability – The Task Force believes that if a football program is reinstated at ETSU that it should be a sustainable program. For this to occur, it must be completely and adequately funded. Funds that are

donated and pledged to the program need to be at a sufficient level and committed for a sufficient period of time to ensure this adequate funding level.

Further, as noted previously in this report, the program needs to be one that will attract fans and build fan loyalty. A scholarship program that recruits the best local and out-of-state players, a game day event that is more than a ballgame, i.e., is a total "entertainment experience," and vigorous marketing and media support will be essential to sustain the program over time.

The Football Task Force wishes to express appreciation to President Stanton for his support of this endeavor and his willingness to review the strategic business decision that the University made in 2003.

Members of the Task Force stand ready to respond to any questions or provide additional information as needed.

### ETSU FOOTBALL TASK FORCE MEMBERSHIP

- **Dr. Wilsie S. Bishop**, ETSU Vice President for Administration and Chief Operating Officer (Co-Chair)
- C.M. Boggs, Accounts Manager, Waste Management Service Center;
- Jeff Byrd, President, Bristol Motor Speedway and Dragway;
- **Dr. David D. Collins**, ETSU Vice President for Business and Finance, and Chief Financial Officer, ETSU Foundation;
- **Tim P. Jones**, Chairman of the Board, ETSU Foundation, and former General Manager and Vice President of Press Inc.;
- Dr. Fred Mackara, President, Faculty Senate
- **Dr. Richard A. Manahan**, ETSU Vice President for University Advancement and President of the ETSU Foundation;
- Dave Mullins, ETSU Director of Intercollegiate Athletics;
- **Dr. Roy S. Nicks**, Chancellor Emeritus of the Tennessee Board of Regents and former President of ETSU;
- Jerry Robertson, retired ETSU Athletic Trainer now with Watauga Orthopaedics, and Buc Football and Friends Foundation Inc. (Co-Chair);
- Josh Shearin, President, ETSU Student Government Association
- **Dr. Phil Roe**, Vice Mayor, Johnson City Commission, and OB-GYN physician
- Ken Bates, President of the ETSU Pirate Club

# Attachment 2 Student Survey Results N = 12,516 Response Rate = 30%



FOOTBALL SURVEY			9
Students survey results.			
	YES	3109	82.9%
Would you like to have football back at ETSU?		640	17.1%
Would you be willing to pay an additional \$50-125 fee per semester to	YES	2313	61.7%
fund a football program, if the fee is phased in over a period of 5-6 years?	NO	1436	38.3%
	YES	3020	80.6%
f football were restored would you attend the games?		729	19.4%

# Faculty/Staff Survey Results N = 3,161 Response Rate = 31%

EAST TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY

FOOTBALL SURVEY			6
Faculty/Staff survey results.	•		
	Strongly Agree	356	36.2
	Agree	228	23.2
Do you favor reinstating	Disagree	113	11.5
intercollegiate football at ETSU?	. Strongly Disagree	216	2.2
	No Opinion	70	7.1
	Very Likely (More than 75%)	422	42.9
If football were reinstated, how	Somewhat Likely (50% - to 75%)	180	18.3
likely is it that you would attend	Unlikely (25% to under 50%)	81.	8.2
at least one game per season?	Very Unlikely (less than 25%)	288	29.3
	Don't Know	12	1.2
	Very Likely (More than 75%)	108	11
f football were reinstated, how	Somewhat Likely (50% - to 75%)	227	23.1
ikely is it that you would	Unlikely (25% to under 50%)	137	13.9
purchase a season ticket?	Very Unlikely (less than 25%)	474	48.2
pul chase a season deker.	Don't Know	37	3.8
	\$120	77	8
How much would you be willing	\$100	160	16.6
to pay for a season ticket for	\$60	189	19.7
football at ETSU?	\$40	92	9.6
	<b>\$</b> 0	443	46.1
	On ETSU campus only	602	67.6
Where should the football stadium	In Johnson City, but not on campus	247	27.79
pe located?	In Tri-City Region, but not in Johnson City	42	4.79
Indicate your degree of agre	eement or disagreement with the fol statements:	lowing 1	wo
	Strongly Agree	132	13.69
f the football stadium were	Agree	156	16.19
ocated off-campus, but in	Disagree	259	26.79
Johnson City, I would be less	Strongly Disagree	204	219
ikely to attend games.	No Opinion	219	22.6%
	Strongly Agree	3 4 2	35.2%
	20 OHER APLEE	2 th L	اربك . قي ق
the football stadium were		224	ጎን የቅ
****	Agree	231	
f the football stadium were ocated in the Tri-City Region, but ot in Johnson City, I would be		231 127 87	23.8% 13.1% 9%

# EAST TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY FOOTBALL PROFORMA

3,381,247 185,640 216,000 200,000 100,000 50,000	5,000	698,150 145,000 48,000 403,000 1,419,390 100,000	2,933,540	1,214,348	635,382 104,186 10,000	749,568	3,683,108	464.780		253,094 81,120 156,000 250,000	740.214	(275,435)	(2,458,674)
3,314,948 216,000 75,000 25,000	3,645,948	671,298 145,000 48,000 403,000 1,351,791 100,000 75,000	2,794,089	851,860	610,944 99,225 10,000	720,169	3,514,258	131,690	21,400	243,360 78,000 150,000 125,000	617,760	(486,070)	(2,183,239)
2,599,960 150,000 50,000 10,000 6,000 4,000	2,819,960	645,479 145,000 48,000 350,000 980,880 80,000 25,000	2,274,359	545,601	587,446 94,500 10,000	691,946	2,966,305	(146,345)	46,000	234,000 75,000 75,000	430,000	(576,345)	(1,697,170)
09-10	1,911,735	620,653 145,000 48,000 300,000 622,784 40,000	1,776,437	135,298	564,852 90,000 10,000	664,852	2,441,289	(1,029,554)	000'99	225,000	291,000	(1,320,554)	(1,120,824)
1,249,500	1,249,500	351,810 48,000 50,000 296,568	746,378	503,122	46,664	46,664	793,042	356,458	141,000	50,000	191,000	165,458	199,730
2% 612,500	612,500	248,360 10,000 10,000	268,360	344,141	44,869	44,869	313,229	299,272	265,000	4%	265,000	34,272	34,272
REVENUE Student Fees NCAA Scholarship Fee Distributions Gate Receipts Game Guarantees Marketing/Promotions Contracts Radio Network Contracts Concessions Revenue New Merchandise Revenue	Total Revenue EXPENDITURES Football Costs	Coach's Salaries Team Travel Recruiting Operations Scholarships Summer School Aid (25 @ 4000) Fifth Year Aid (10 @ 12,000)	Total Football Expenditures	Net Revenue over/(under) football expenditures	Outer Administrative Costs Defaultional Description Additional Operating Costs	Total Other Administrative Costs	Total Football and Other Administrative Football Start Up Costs	Over/Under Revenue Before Gender Equity	Gender Equity Current Approved Plan Potential New Sports Women's Swirmeing	Rifle Rowing Field Hockey	Total Gender Equity	Additional Fund Raising or other Revenue Req	Balance

IESSEE (	FOOTBALL PROFORMA
----------	-------------------

\$50 in Year 1 increasing to \$100 in Year 3	07-08	08-09	09-10	. 10-11		
REVENUE			01-80	1-01	11-12	`
Student Fees NCAA Scholarship Fee Distributions	2% 1,225,000	1,249,500	2,548,980	2,599,960	2,651,959	2,704,998
Gate Receipts Game Guarantees				150,000	216,000	185,640 216,000
Marketing/Promotions Contracts Radio Network Contracts Concessions Revenue New Merchandise Revenue				50,000 10,000 6,000 4,000	75,000 25,000 10,000 5,000	200,000 100,000 50,000 10,000
Total Revenue	1,225,000	1,249,500	2,548,980	2,819,960	2,982,959	3.471.638
EXPENDITURES Football Costs Coach Salaries Team Transit	248,360	351,810	620,653	645,479	671,298	698.150
reant riavel Recruiting Operations Scholarships Summer School Aid (25 @ 4000) Fifth Year Aid (10 @ 12.000)	10,000	48,000 50,000 296,568	145,000 48,000 300,000 622,784 40,000	145,000 · 48,000 350,000 980,880 80,000	145,000 48,000 403,000 1,351,791	145,000 48,000 403,000 1,419,390 100,000
Total Football Expenditures	268,360	746,378	1,776,437	2,274,359	75,000	120,000
Net Revenue over/(under) football expenditures	956,641	503,122	772,543	545,601	188,870	538.098
Other Administrative Costs Salaries and Benefits Additional Insurance Additional Operating Costs	44,869	46,664	564,852 90,000 10,000	587,446 94,500 10,000	610,944 99,225 10,000	635,382 104,186 10,000
Total Other Administrative Costs	44,869	46,664	664,852	691,946	720,169	749,568
Total Football and Other Administrative	313,229	793,042	2,441,289	2,966,305	3,514,258	3,683,108
Football Start Up Costs		100,000	200'000			,
Over/Under Revenue Before Gender Equity	911,772	356,458	(392,309)	(146,345)	(531,299)	(211,470)
Gender Equity Current Approved Plan Potential New Sports	265,000	141,000	000'99	46,000	21,400	
Women's Swimming Rifle Rowing Field Hockey	4%	50,000	225,000	234,000 75,000 75,000	243,360 78,000 150,000 125,000	253,094 81,120 156,000 250,000
Total Gender Equity	265,000	191,000	291,000	430,000	617,760	740,214
Additional Fund Raising or other Revenue Req	646,772	165,458	(683,309)	(576,345)	(1,149,059)	(951,684)
Balance	646,772	812,230	128,921	(447,425)	(1,596,484)	(2,548,168)

Dates	Time	Phase	Cost	Funding Source
January 2007 - June 2007	180 days	Hire Facility Consultant	75,000	Athletic Facilities R & R
July 2007- August 2007	60 days	Programming Phase	22,000	Fund Raising
September 2007-November 2007	90 days	Schematic Design	88,000	
December 2007 - March 2008	120 days	Design Development	183,000	
Complete Rier Planning Stage			298/000	
April 2008 - July 2008	120 days	Construction Documents	220,000	
eomplete Fulli Slaming			5181000	
August 2008 - October 2008	90 days	Plan Review	22,000	
November 2008 - April 2010	18 months	Construction	14,436,000	
May 2010 - June 2010	60 days	Close Out	29,000	
Totalicionstilliciton	86 months		15/000/000	

### Steps

Hire Facility Consultant through Master Plan Disclose Project to TBR by March 1 TBR Discloses to THEC April 1 THEC Discloses to Legislature May 1

### Minimum Fund Raising Goals

Fund Raise \$300,000 by March 31 to pay for Pre Planning Stage - If not raised, do not request fee increase

Fund Raise additional \$220,000 by March 2008 for Complete Planning

All Remaining Funds Must be in Hand by July 2008 to move forward or result in delays

### **Talking Points**

- A phased-in student athletic fee must be approved by the student body through a referendum vote in April 2007. If affirmed, the proposed fee and subsequent increases must then be approved by the Tennessee Board of Regents, ETSU's governing body, during its June 2007 meeting. These dollars will be used to fund operating expenses.
- A total of \$300,000 must be in hand by March 31, 2007, to fund the preplanning stage. This stage will include the hiring of a facility consultant, as well as the design development of a new football stadium.
- A total of \$15 million must be in hand by July 2008 to move forward with the construction of a new football stadium and related facilities.
- Completion of new football facilities (including a stadium, locker rooms, field house, etc.) would be scheduled for June 2010 with the first game planned for the fall of 2010.

# EAST TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY FOOTBALL PROFORMA

	12-13	2,2		200,000 100,000 20 50,000 10,000 5,000	3,471,638		030, 130 00 145,000 00 48,000	<del>v.</del>	89 2,933,540	70 538,098	44 635,382 25 104,186 00 10,000	749,568	3,683,108		(211,470)	001	60 253,094 000 81,120 000 156,000 250,000	740,214	(951,684)	
	11-12	2,651,959	216,000	75,000 25,000 10,000 5,000	2,982,959		145,000 48,000	403,000 1,351,791 100,000 75,000	2,794,089	188,870	610,944 99,225 10,000	720,169	3,514,258		(531,299)	21,400	. 243,360 78,000 150,000 125,000	617,760	(1,149,059)	(1.596.484)
	10-11	2,599,960	150,000	50,000 10,000 6,000 4,000	2,819,960	645.470	145,000	350,000 980,880 80,000 25,000	2,274,359	545,601	587,446 94,500 10,000	691,946	2,966,305		(146,345)	46,000	234,000 75,000 75,000	430,000	(576,345)	(447,425)
MA	09-10	2,548,980			2,548,980	620 653	145,000 48,000	300,000 622,784 40,000	1,776,437	772,543	564,852 90,000 10,000	664,852	2,441,289	200,000	(392,309)	000'99	225,000	291,000	(683,309)	128.921
FOOTBALL PROFORMA	60-80	1,249,500			1,249,500	351.810	48,000	50,000 296,568	746,378	503,122	46,664	46,664	793,042	100,000	356,458	141,000	50,000	191,000	165,458	812.230
FC	07-08	2% 1,225,000			1,225,000	248.360	10,000	10,000	268,360	956,641	44,869	44,869	313,229		911,772	265,000	4%	265,000	646,772	646,772
\$50 in Year 1 increasing to \$100 in Year 3		REVENUE Student Fees NCAA Scholarship Fee Distributions	Gate Receipts Game Guarantees	Marketing/Promotions Contracts Radio Network Contracts Concessions Revenue New Merchandise Revenue	Total Revenue	EXPENDITURES Football Costs Coach's Salaries	Team Travel Recruiting	Operations Scholarships Summer School Aid (25 @ 4000) Fifth Year Aid (10 @ 12,000)	Total Football Expenditures	Net Revenue over/(under) football expenditures	Other Administrative Costs Salaries and Benefits Additional Insurance Additional Operating Costs	Total Other Administrative Costs	Total Football and Other Administrative	Football Start Up Costs	Over/Under Revenue Before Gender Equity	Gender Equity Current Approved Plan Potential New Sports	Women's Swimming Rifle Rowing Field Hockey	Total Gender Equity	Additional Fund Raising or other Revenue Req	Balance

### ETSU FOOTBALL TASK FORCE MEMBERSHIP

- **Dr. Wilsie S. Bishop**, ETSU Vice President for Administration and Chief Operating Officer
- C.M. Boggs, Accounts Manager, Waste Management Service Center;
- Jeff Byrd, President, Bristol Motor Speedway and Dragway;
- **Dr. David D. Collins**, ETSU Vice President for Business and Finance, and Chief Financial Officer, ETSU Foundation;
- **Tim P. Jones**, Chairman of the Board, ETSU Foundation, and former General Manager and Vice President of Press Inc.;
- Dr. Fred MacKara, President, Faculty Senate
- **Dr. Richard A. Manahan**, ETSU Vice President for University Advancement and President of the ETSU Foundation;
- Dave Mullins, ETSU Director of Intercollegiate Athletics;
- **Dr. Roy S. Nicks**, Chancellor Emeritus of the Tennessee Board of Regents and former President of ETSU;
- Jerry Robertson, retired ETSU Athletic Trainer now with Watauga Orthopaedics, and Buc Football and Friends Foundation Inc.;
- Mr. Josh Shearin, President, ETSU Student Government Association
- **Dr. Phil Roe**, Vice Mayor, Johnson City Commission, and OB-GYN physician
- Mr. Ken Bates, President of the ETSU Pirate Club

## Attachment 2 Student Survey Results N = 12,516 Response Rate = 30%

FOOTBALL SURVEY	•		(5)
Students survey results.			
0.4 11 11 4 1 6 4 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	YES	3109	82.99
Would you like to have football back at ETSU?	NO	640	17.19
Would you be willing to pay an additional \$50-125 fee per semester to	YĖS	2313	61.7%
fund a football program, if the fee is phased in over a period of 5-6 years?	NO	1436	38.3%
	YES	3020	80.6%
f football were restored would you attend the games?	NO	729	19.4%
COMMENTS >>	· • 1		

# Faculty/Staff Survey Results N = 3,161

Response Rate = 31%

### EAST TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY



FOOTBALL SURVEY			(E)
Faculty/Staff survey results.		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Strongly Agree	356	36.2
	Agree	228	23.2
Do you favor reinstating	Disagree	113	11.5
intercollegiate football at ETSU?	Strongly Disagree	216	2.2
	No Optition	70	7.1
	Very Likely (More than 75%)	422	42.9
If football were reinstated, how	Somewhat Likely (50% - to 75%)	180	18.3
likely is it that you would attend	Unlikely (25% to under 50%)	81	8.2
at least one game per season?	Very Unlikely (less than 25%)	288	29.3
	Don't Know	.12	1.2
	Very Likely (More than 75%)	108	11
If football were reinstated, how	Somewhat Likely (50% - to 75%)	227	23.1
likely is it that you would	Unlikely (25% to under 50%)	137	13.9
purchase a season ticket?	Very Unlikely (less than 25%)	474	48.2
	Don't Know	37	3.8
	\$120	77	8
How much would you be willing	\$100	160	16.6
to pay for a season ticket for	\$60	189	19.7
football at ETSU?	\$40	92	9.6
	\$0	443	46.1
	On ETSU campus only	602	67.6
Where should the football stadium	In Johnson City, but not on campus	247	27.7
be located?	In Tri-City Region, but not in Johnson	42	4.7
	City		
Indicate your degree of agreem	ent or disagreement with the fo	ollowing	two
	statements:	· · · · ·	
6 AL - 6 - AL-II - 4 - II - 1 - II - 4 - II - 1 - II	Strongly Agree	132	13.69
f the football stadium were ocated off-campus, but in	Agree	156	16.19
	Disagree	. 259	26.79
Johnson City, I would be less	Strongly Disagree	204	21%
ikely to attend games.	No Opinion	219	22.69
f the feethall stadium	Strongly Agree	342	35.2%
f the football stadium were	Agree	231	23.8%
ocated in the Tri-City Region, but	Disagree	127	13.1%
ot in Johnson City, I would be ess likely to attend games.	Strongly Disagree	87	9%
	<del>-</del>		